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Recent studies have documented a need for implementation of infection prevention measures in the intraoperative work area due to an association of patient mortality with bacteria contamination of traditional open lumen stopcocks during surgical procedures.

In this study, Dr. Loftus, et al of Dartmouth Hitchcock Medical Center, compared the ULTRAPORT™ zero stopcock, containing an incorporated swabbable valve, to an open lumen stopcock requiring sterile replacement caps to determine the efficacy of the valve with and without hub disinfection as a barrier to inadvertent bacterial injection.

Results:
- ULTRAPORT zero with hub disinfection had 0% (0/152) instances of contamination.
- ULTRAPORT zero without hub disinfection had 4% (7/162) instances of contamination.
- Traditional open lumen stopcock with sterile replacement cap had 3.2% (5/154) instances of contamination.

Key finding of the study: “The ULTRAPORT zero stopcock with hub disinfection before injection was associated with a significant reduction in the risk of inadvertent bacterial injection.” Prior disinfection appears to be the most important factor for reducing the incidence of bacteria injection from the anesthesia provider’s hands and may reduce the chance of catheter related blood stream infections (CRBSIs), when compared to a traditional open lumen stopcock.